

WATER MANAGEMENT

Waterborne Pathogen Disinfection and Remediation in Healthcare Facilities Presented by: Michael Castro



Michael Castro, MPH, CWT District Manager

- 23 years of experience
- Voting member of ANSI/ASHRAE Std. 188
- Committee member of ANSI/ASHRAE Std. 514
- Initiated Development of ASTM Std. D8422:
 Intermittent Use Validation of POU Water Filters
- Certified Trainer for ASSE 12080 Legionella Water Safety & Management Specialist Program
- Co-authored a chapter entitled *Legionella: Causes, cases, and mitigation*



Conflict of Interest and Disclosure of Financial Relationships

- Barclay Water Management, Inc. (current)
 - Provide water safety related interventions
 - Provide water safety consulting services
- Special Pathogens Laboratory (past)
 - Provided environmental laboratory testing
 - Provided water safety consulting services



- 1. Understand different interventions used to mitigate *Legionella* and other waterborne pathogen challenges
- 2. Evaluate benefits, challenges and limitations to both <u>short-term</u> and <u>long-term</u> disinfection and remediation strategies
- 3. Understand how to evaluate and implement control measures (interventions) when conditions may allow *Legionella* growth
- 4. Discuss peer reviewed publications which support evidencebased performance claims

- Have you <u>already</u> evaluated your options if your facility has significant environmental *Legionella* colonization/contamination?
- Is the Water Management Program Team prepared to make swift decisions if a Legionnaires' disease case is associated with a facility?
- Have you considered the "other" waterborne pathogens and modes of transmission [specifically named by CMS]?
 - Pseudomonas
- Stenotrophomonas
- Acinetobacter
- nontuberculous mycobacteria
- Burkholderia fungi
- Who knows what water disinfectant your hospital receives?

Legionella Reservoirs in Building Water Systems

- Potable Water
 - Showerheads
 - Faucets
 - Ice Machines
- Cooling Towers
- Decorative Fountains

- Whirlpool Baths or Spas
- Misting Systems
- Dental Lines
- Humidifiers
- Water Fountains



Water Management Program Interventions



Water Management Program Validation



LABORATORY REPORT

Hospital 123 Hospital Dr New York, NY 10100 REPORT NO.: 123 SAMPLE DATE: 10-06-2022 REPORT DATE: 10-24-2022

#	Sample Name	Pseudomonas aeruginosa (CFU/100mL)
1	NICU Room 1	47
2	NICU Room 2	(106)
3	NICU Room 4	88
4	NICU Room 7	131
5	NICU Room 13	91

What Happens Next?



ASHRAE Guideline 12-2020

Managing the Risk of Legionellosis Associated with Building Water Systems

Table C-1 Performance Indicators for Water Management Programs for Potable Water Systems ^a				
Calculated <i>Legionella</i> , CFU/mL ^b	Program Performance	Suggested Response		
≤1 or not detected	Legionella growth appears well controlled.	Continue Program.		
>1	Conditions may allow Legionella growth.	Implement the guidance in Section C5 ^d .		
Trending of Test Results over Time ^c	Program Performance	Suggested Response		
10 to 100 fold increase	Legionella growth appears to be poorly controlled.	Implement the guidance in Section C5 ^d .		
>100 fold increase	Legionella growth appears to be uncontrolled.	Implement the guidance in Section C5 ^d .		

In health care facilities where at-risk persons are housed or treated and where *Legionella* growth does not appear well controlled, consider implementing measures from the healthcare facility's water management plan to protect patients from exposure to water aerosols while implementing the guidance in Section C5.

CDC *Legionella* **Toolkit** (June 24, 2021, Version 1.1)

Figure 1. Routine Legionella testing: A multifactorial approach to performance indicator interpretation*[∞]

Concentration indicates	s that <i>Legionella</i> growth	appears:	
Uncontrolled	Poorly Controlled	Well Controlled	
≥10 CFU/mL [†]	1.0–9.9 CFU/mL	Detectable to 0.9 CFU/	No <i>Legionella</i>
in potable water	in potable water	mL in potable water	detected in a single
OR ≥100 CFU/mL in	OR 10–99 CFU/mL in	OR Detectable to 9 CFU/	round of testing
non-potable water	non-potable water	mL in non-potable water	

Change in concentration over time indicates that Legionella growth appears:

Uncontrolled	Poorly Controlled	Well Controlled	
100-fold or greater increase in concentration (e.g., 0.05 to 5 CFU/mL)	10-fold increase in concentration (e.g., 0.05 to 0.5 CFU/mL)	Legionella concentration steady (e.g., 0.5 CFU/ mL for two consecutive sampling rounds)	No <i>Legionella</i> detected in a single round of testing



Toolkit for Controlling *Legionella* in Common Sources of Exposure (*Legionella* Control Toolkit)

INFORMATION ON CONTROLLING LEGIONELLA IN COMMONLY IMPLICATED SOURCES OF LEGIONNAIRES' DISEASE OUTBREAKS



U.S. Department of Health and Human Service Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

CDC *Legionella* **Toolkit** (June 24, 2021, Version 1.1)

- If Legionella growth does not appear well controlled in healthcare facilities...consider implementing immediate control measures...
- If the **root causes** of *Legionella* growth are not identified and controlled, *Legionella* growth is likely to reoccur.



SAN DIEGO STATE UNIVERSITY

San Diego State Professor Dies of Legionnaires' Disease

Legionella can be found naturally in freshwater but can also grow and spread in human-made water systems like hot tubs, hot water tanks, decorative fountains and more complex water systems

By Christina Bravo • Published March 7, 2023 • Updated on March 7, 2023 at 3:06 pm



This undated image made available by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention shows a large grouping of Legionella pneumophila bacteria (Legionnaires' disease). Most deaths from Legionnaires' disease are tied to hospital and nursing home showers, not outdoor cooling towers, new government figures released Thursday, Aug. 13, 2015 show. The germ spreads into the

-

A professor who was diagnosed with a rare case of Legionnaires' disease, died after a weeks-long battle with the severe form of pneumonia, San Diego State University officials said on Tuesday.

Dr. Michael J. Buono, a professor of SDSU's the School of Exercise and Nutritional Sciences with a 40-year career at SDSU, died on March 4, three weeks after he was hospitalized with Legionella pneumonia, the university said.

It's Not Just About Legionella Anymore

eudomona Burkholderia Legionella Stenotrophomonas Mycobacterium Acinetobacter maltophilia

Other Waterborne Pathogens in Healthcare

• Pseudomonas aeruginosa ^{1, 2}

- ~51,000 healthcare-associated *P. aeruginosa* infections occur in the US annually resulting in ~400 deaths per year. 13% are multidrug-resistant
- Infants with *P. aeruginosa* infections showed crude mortality rates of 18 to 100% (mean = 62.7%)

• Nontuberculous Mycobacteria (NTM)³

- Oregon Study: 35.1% died in the 5 years following respiratory identification
- ~85,000 people in the US currently suffering from NTM infection

1: https://www.cdc.gov/hai/organisms/pseudomonas.html;

2: Jefferies, J. M., Cooper, T., Yam, T., & Clarke, S. C. (2012). Pseudomonas aeruginosa outbreaks in the neonatal intensive care unit – a systematic review of risk factors and environmental sources. Journal of Medical Microbiology, 1052–1061; 3: https://ohsu.pure.elsevier.com/en/publications/mortality-after-respiratory-isolation-of-nontuberculous-mycobacte

Most Efficient Ways to Grow Bacteria



Most Efficient Ways to Grow Bacteria

- Allow them access
- Provide water & food (nutrients)
- Provide thermal comfort (ideal temperatures)
- Provide stable environment (stagnancy)
- Provide protected environment (complexity in componentry)
- Do not disrupt their environment (aged plumbing systems)
- Teach them heat and chemical resistance
- Selectively kill weak organisms
- Allow population to evolve and diversify
- Provide a home they can eat (EPS gingerbread house)
- Add new components which are pre-colonized
- Backwash stagnant fire hydrant systems into their home

An Abundance of Guidance Exists



Limit Amplification by Bundling Interventions



- Keep it <u>clean</u>
- Keep it <u>hot</u>
- Keep it <u>cold</u>
- Keep it moving
- Keep residual <u>chemistry</u>

Keep it Hot & Keep it Cold

- Benefits:
 - Prevent Legionella multiplication
 - Maintain biofilm stasis
 - Prevent heat loss or gain with insulation

Obstacles:

- Heating rapidly depletes many disinfectant residuals
- Capacity of water heaters is inappropriate to deliver high temperatures
- Scalding & plumbing code requirements
- Increased corrosion
- Decreased equipment life
- Cold water main may be warm already

*Flemming, P.-C. (2016). Executive Summary: Results of the Collaborative research project "Biofilms in Drinking Water Installations". Duisburg, Germany: University Duisburg-Essen.



Volume 149, 1 February 2019, Pages 460-466



Role of hot water temperature and water system use on *Legionella* control in a tertiary hospital: An 8-year longitudinal study

<u>Laura Gavaldà</u> ª ♀ ⊠, <u>Marian Garcia-Nuñez ^{b c d} ⊠, Sara Quero ^b ⊠,</u> <u>Carmen Gutierrez-Milla</u> ª ⊠, <u>Miquel Sabrià ^{b c e} ⊠</u>

- Hot water temps dramatically drop to ambient in 20 min.
- *Legionella* is significantly higher at POU if **not used daily**.
- Weekly flushing of taps and showers is not enough to minimize *Legionella* colonization.

Keep it Moving (recirculation & flushing)

• Benefits:

- Reduces water age
- Delivers fresh water & disinfectant
- Mechanical friction scrubs biofilm
- Removes some accumulated sediment

Obstacles:

- Cost of water & labor
- Difficult to flush sensor faucets
- Potential pressure challenges
- Studies show daily flushing is required (weekly is not enough)



Corrective Action: Remediation Options

Short-Term Disinfection Options

- Chemical Shock / Hyper-halogenation (chlorine)
- Point-of-Use Microbiological Filters (widespread use)
- Thermal Disinfection/Superheat & Flush
- Flushing

Long-Term Disinfection Options

- Sodium Hypochlorite (chlorine)
- Copper/Silver Ionization
- Chlorine Dioxide
- Monochloramine
- Ozonation / Ultraviolet Disinfection
- Point-of-Use Microbiological Filters (targeted deployment)

How Do We Select the Best Intervention?

When to Consider

What to Consider

- Water temperature
- Incoming chemistry
- Supplemental chemistry
- Efficacy against biofilm
- Third-party publications
- Alarming, data & trending
- Corrosion/metallurgy

- EPA permitting requirements
- Cost (capital & operational)
- Footprint
- Service requirements
- Manpower requirements
- Safety features
- Other bundled interventions used



Corrective Action: Short-Term POU Filters

- <a href="mailto:
 microbiological filter
- Creates a physical barrier between plumbing & occupants
- Immediate & effective
 - Validated to retain >9-log
 bacteria (*B.diminuta*)
 - FDA-cleared to aid in infection control
- Often used as long-term intervention in high-risk units
- Source is not eliminated

Primary, Secondary & Supplemental Disinfection



When is Supplemental Disinfection Considered?

- Poor temperature control or heavy organic load
- Inadequate disinfectant at the point-of-use
- Amplification of *Legionella* within complex plumbing systems
- Case of Legionnaires' disease



- Multiple studies: "support maintaining a chloramine residual in the premise plumbing system in the range of 1 to 2 ppm as an effective means for containing biofilm growth, minimizing Legionella colonization and preventing outbreaks."
- "Within healthcare facilities such as hospitals and nursing homes the potable water supply is the most common source of [Legionella] exposure."
- San Francisco study by CDC/Health Department:
 "Our study demonstrated that Legionella colonization in a plumbing system was effectively eliminated by monochloramine [supplemental disinfection]. Hospitals or other facilities colonized with Legionella spp. might control Legionella growth and prevent disease transmission by adding [a supplemental disinfection] to their potable water system."

Why Add Supplemental Disinfectant?





Why Add Supplemental Disinfectant?





Cold Water: A Relative Term



Overhead Neat Chemical Injection



Response to Variable Flow





Response to Variable Flow



Efficacy of Intervention



- 10,432 *Legionella* cultures taken on 50 systems
- 261 (2.5%) Positive *Legionella* cultures
- 102 (1%) Positive *Legionella* cultures > 1 CFU/mL

EPA Permitting



- Strict regulations in many states to permit systems
- Regulations vary widely state to state & county to county

Best Good OK Consideration Treat both cold and hot water

Publication Review

INFECTION CONTROL AND HOSPITAL EPIDEMIOLOGY FEBRUARY 2011, VOL. 32, NO. 2

REVIEW ARTICLE

Controlling Legionella in Hospital Drinking Water: An Evidence-Based Review of Disinfection Methods

Yusen E. Lin, PhD, MBA;1 Janet E. Stout, PhD;23 Victor L. Yu, MD3

Hospital-acquired Legionnaires' disease is directly linked to the presence of *Legionella* in hospital drinking water. Disinfecting the drinking water system is an effective preventive measure. The efficacy of any disinfection measures should be validated in a stepwise fashion from laboratory assessment to a controlled multiple-hospital evaluation over a prolonged period of time. In this review, we evaluate systemic disinfection methods (copper-silver ionization, chlorine dioxide, monochloramine, ultraviolet light, and hyperchlorination), a focal disinfection method (point-of-use filtration), and short-term disinfection methods in outbreak situations (superheat-and-flush with or without hyperchlorination). The infection control practitioner should take the lead in selection of the disinfection system and the vendor. Formal appraisals by other hospitals with experience of the system under consideration is indicated. Routine performance of surveillance cultures of drinking water to detect *Legionella* and monitoring of disinfectant concentrations are necessary to ensure long-term efficacy.

Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2011;32(2):166-173

The epidemiological link between presence of *Legionella pneumophila* in the hospital drinking water and the occurrence of hospital-acquired legionellosis was first made in the early 1980s by Tobin and Stout.^{1,2} The first documented study of disinfection was published in 1983 using thermal eradication, which we termed "superheat-and-flush" method.³ In 1990, the first comprehensive review of disinfection methodologies was published; definitive recommendations as to which methodology was superior were not made.⁴ In 1998, two reviews on disinfection methodologies were published; one for engineers and healthcare facility managers⁵ and another for physicians and infection control practitioners.⁶ At that time, disadvantages of both hyperchlorination and ulpathogens, including *Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Stenotrophomonas maltophilia, Acinetobacter baumannii,* and mycobacterial species. We recommend copper ion concentrations of 0.20–0.80 mg/L and silver ion concentrations of 0.01–0.08 mg/L for *Legionella* eradication. The recommended concentrations for *Legionella* eradication are 0.2–0.4 mg/L and 0.02– 0.04 mg/L, respectively; lower ion concentrations have proven effective after initial installation.⁷⁻¹⁰ Copper ion concentrations should be monitored weekly with use of a field colorimeter kit. Silver concentrations can be tested only by atomic absorption spectroscopy or inductively coupled plasma method and should be tested once every 2 months. Water samples for ion analysis should be clear and free of

Management of Legionella in Water Systems

Committee on Management of Legionella in Water Systems

Water Science and Technology Board

Board on Life Sciences

Board on Population Health and Public Health Practice

Division on Earth and Life Studies

Health and Medicine Division

A Consensus Study Report of The National Academies of SCIENCES • ENGINEERING • MEDICINE

Free Chlorine (Sodium Hypochlorite)

Benefits:

- Extremely easy to access, install, and feed
- Used in drinking water for over 100 years
- Inexpensive

Challenges:

- Highly corrosive to piping
- Requires on site chemistry
- Creates disinfection byproducts (TTHM/HAAs)
- Highly reactive (must feed to both hot and cold)
- Poor biofilm penetration
- Requires extended length of time to reduce Legionella
- In studies, less effective than monochloramine and chlorine dioxide against *Legionella* bacteria as measured by CT
- Impact taste and odor

Efficacy of Chlorine

- Lin et al., 1998a: Relatively high doses of chlorine (2–6 ppm) were needed for continuous control of *Legionella* in water systems.
- Muraca et al. (1987): Chlorine was more effective at a higher temperature (109.4 °F) compared to 77 °F, but decayed faster at higher temperatures.
- Kim et al. (2002): Association with protozoa may explain why chlorine can suppress *Legionella* in water systems but cannot usually prevent its regrowth.

SEPA United States Environmental Protection Agency	Office of Water EPA 810-R-16-001 September 2016
Technologies for <i>Legionella</i> Control Plumbing Systems: Scientific Literature Review	in Premise v

Chlorine Dioxide

Benefits:

- Effective against Legionella and other types of bacteria
- Effective over a wide range of pH levels
- Little Impact on taste and odor

Challenges:

- Extremely corrosive to piping
- Cold water application requires extended length of time to reduce Legionella
- Degrades quickly (especially in hot water systems)
- Separate feed system required to control hot and cold water
- Tight control band (maximum dosage limit of 0.8 ppm; 1.0 ppm chlorite)
- Penetrates biofilm more effectively than Sodium Hypochlorite; but less effectively than Monochloramine
- Creates disinfection byproducts (chlorite and chlorate)
- Daily chlorite monitoring usually required on permitted systems

Chlorine Dioxide



Chlorine Dioxide



Efficacy of Chlorine Dioxide

- Loret et al. (2005): "<u>Biofilm thickness was</u> reduced to <5 μm with chlorine dioxide and several other disinfectants, as compared to a measured biofilm thickness of 13–35 μm in the untreated pipe loop."
- Mustapha et al. (2015): Laboratory study found that L. pneumophila was not inactivated at shock disinfection levels.
 At 4 ppm, L. pneumophila could be detected using cell culture, but at 6 ppm, no bacteria were detected.

	Office of Water EPA 810-R-16-001 September 2016
Technologies for <i>Legionella</i> Contr Plumbing Systems: Scientific Literature Rev	ol in Premise iew

Chlorine Dioxide: Email from California EPA

Bcc

To Kinderman, Liz <ekinderman@barclaywater.com>

Cc Souza, Kurt <Kurt.Souza@waterboards.ca.gov>

From Wednesday, June 1, 2022 2:57 PM

Using chlorine dioxide is very serious when it comes to proper operation and potential for public health issues, I would recommend against it.

Thanks,

Kurt Souza California EPA State Water Resources Control Board - Division of Drinking Water Asst. Deputy Director

Send



Copper-Silver Ionization

Benefits:

- No precursor chemistry used
- Copper and silver work <u>synergistically</u> to produce higher inactivation rate
- Copper destroys cell wall permeability, silver interferes with synthesis of proteins and enzymes
- Non-enforceable Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL); Only Secondary MCL

Challenges:

- Only applied to Hot Water
- No direct, online measurement of residual available (i.e. no Cu-Ag probe)
- Must use laboratory analyses to test for Cu-Ag (delay in treatment adjustment)
- No traceability for Cu-Ag treatment levels throughout the day
- pH restriction of 8.0; high pH waters may pose precipitation challenges
- Specialized maintenance: cleaning/replacement of plates (uses strong acid)
- Tight control limits: 1.3 ppm Copper, 0.1 ppm Silver

Copper-Silver Ionization



Efficacy of Copper-Silver Ionization

- Dziewulski et al. (2015): CSI efficacy demonstrated for inactivating both L. pneumophila and L. anisa under alkaline water conditions (pH 8.7–9.9). Positivity reduced from 70% to <30%.
- Demirjian et al. (2015): Outbreak at a Pennsylvania hospital – 23 of 25 locations sampled for *Legionella* culture were positive, while the mean copper and silver ion concentrations were measured at or above the manufacturer's recommended levels for *Legionella* control (0.30 and 0.02 ppm, respectively).
- Chen et al. (2008): Copper-silver ionization reduced positive L. pneumophila samples from 30% to 5%. Finally, after 11 months, positivity reduced to 0% after increasing Cu-Ag concentrations.

SEPA United States Environmental Protection Agency	Office of Water EPA 810-R-16-001 September 2016
Technologies for <i>Legionella</i> Control in F Plumbing Systems: Scientific Literature Review	Premise

USA Monochloramine Secondary Disinfection

Average of 35%

Percentage of the population served with chloraminated water

0 %
<5%
5-10%
10-20%
20-30%
30-40%
>50%
and the second se

Illustration @ Copyright 2011 Fresh Water Systems, Inc. All Rights Reserved

Monochloramine

Benefits:

- Rapidly effective against Legionella bacteria (CT) and biofilm penetration
- Stable in both hot and cold water systems
- Persists well within complex plumbing systems
- Treatment translates to hot water by feeding only cold water main
- Less corrosive than free chlorine or chorine dioxide
- Like free chlorine, used in drinking water for over 100 years
- Reduced disinfection byproducts compared to chlorine
- Remediation can be performed without service interruption (<4.0 ppm)

Challenges:

- Proper ratio of precursor chemicals must be used
- Concerns exist for dialysis and fish tanks
- Concerns with free ammonia when fed improperly

Monochloramine



Efficacy of Monochloramine

- Coniglio et al. (2015): One year of monochloramine used following 100% colonization of two hospital hot water systems (*L. pneumophila* serogroups 3 and 6) showed no *Legionella* detected in all samples (except during one month when the generator failed for 15 days). Ammonium, nitrite and nitrate levels did not exceed their limits during the study.
- **Baron et al. (2015):** Treatment with monochloramine resulted in reduced total bacteria count, as well as reduced species diversity, compared to a control (untreated) hot water.
- **Duda et al. (2014):** Significant reduction in *Legionella* at distal sites after a monochloramine generation system was installed in a hospital hot water system, replacing a copper-silver ionization system. Monochloramine levels ranged from 1.0 to 4.0 ppm.



Efficacy of Monochloramine

Monochloramine vs Free Chlorine Biofilm Penetration

- Monochloramine → complete penetration
- Free chlorine → penetration depth stabilizes
- Different reactivity with biofilm



- For equivalent chlorine concentrations, monochloramine shown to penetrate biofilms 170 times faster than free chlorine
- Even after subsequent application to a monochloramine-penetrated biofilm, free chlorine penetration was limited

Lee, W. H.; Wahman, D. G.; Bishop, P. L.; Pressman, J. G., Free chlorine and monochloramine application to nitrifying biofilm: comparison of biofilm penetration, activity, and viability. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2011**, *45*, (4), 1412–1419.

Efficacy of Monochloramine

University of Pittsburgh

Legionella Colonization Prevention in Ice Machines

Querry AM, Pasculle AW, Dudek E, Crouse J, Sundermann AJ, Young L, Tatar J, Troesch A, Meduho E, UPMC

Wozniak J, Muto CA University of Pittsburgh Medical Center – Presbyterian Hospital, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

Conclusions:

- Manufacturer/specified ice machine cleaning and descaling guidelines were associated with the highest colonization rates and could lead to increased *Legionella* hospital acquired infections.
- POU filters had a lower rate of colonization, but changing all filters within 31 days is challenging. Manual interventions have the ability to work, but need to be strictly followed and maintained.
- Continuous disinfection with Monochloramine was most effective as preventing *Legionella* colonization and was easiest to maintain.

A bacterial infection killed three patients at Brigham and Women's. Here's how it got in.

Story by Jessica Bartlett • Monday 👌 6

A n infectious disease clinician working closely with the cardiac surgery department had an inkling something was off. It was 2018, and she mentioned to colleagues at Brigham and Women's Hospital the unusual occurrence of a suspicious bacteria, which had popped up several times in the last year and a half. The rare bacteria, Mycobacterium abscessus, can sometimes cause hospital-acquired infections, often from contaminated water. But the number of times hospitalized patients had tested positive for it struck her as odd.



Ice Machine Filtration

- "the hospital discovered the culprit: a water purification system feeding an ice and water machine on the cardiac unit."
- "experts did find high levels of mycobacteria from ice and water machine samples... DNA extracted from the machine samples was an exact match to a gene in the patient outbreak."

Ice Machines in Healthcare

- Cleaning and maintenance
- Temperature control
- Flushing
- Filtration
 - -Particulate
 - -Carbon/taste (??)
 - -Microbiological
- Sanitization





Quick Takeaway

l'm an Infection Preventionist. What can I do?



Quick Takeaway



- Keep it <u>clean</u>
- Keep it <u>hot</u>
- Keep it <u>cold</u>
- Keep it moving
- Keep residual <u>chemistry</u>

Being Proactive





Efficacy Against Other Waterborne Pathogens

Water Research 189 (2021) 116656



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Water Research

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/watres

A comprehensive evaluation of monochloramine disinfection on water quality, *Legionella* and other important microorganisms in a hospital



Darren A. Lytle^{a,*}, Stacy Pfaller^a, Christy Muhlen^a, Ian Struewing^b, Simoni Triantafyllidou^a, Colin White^c, Sam Hayes^a, Dawn King^a, Jingrang Lu^b

After treatment with monochloramine:

- *Legionella* culture decreased from 68% to 6% positivity after monochloramine addition
- Pseudomonas aeruginosa demonstrated large and significant decrease
- *nontuberculous Mycobacteria* by culture were significantly reduced from 61% to 14%



Thank You!!!

Questions?

Michael Castro District Manager Barclay Water Management 480-636-0405 <u>mcastro@barclaywater.com</u>



Thank You!!!

Questions?

Michael Castro District Manager Barclay Water Management 480-636-0405 <u>mcastro@barclaywater.com</u>

Permitting Requirements

- Revised Total Coliform Rule
 - Absence of Total Coliform
- Lead & Copper Rule
 - Lead <0.015 ppm in 90% of samples
 - Copper < 1.3 ppm</p>
- Stage 1 and Stage 2 Disinfectants and Disinfection Byproducts Rules
- Other reports may be required
 - Nitrite/Nitrate Testing



Monitoring Requirements

EPA Permitting Requirement	Frequency	Chlorine	Chlorine Dioxide	Monochloramine	Copper-Silver ¹	Ozone
Absence of Total Coliform	Monthly					
Lead <0.015 ppm ³ (in 90% of samples)	Bi-Annual		•	•		
Copper < 1.3 ppm ³	Bi-Annual					
Chlorite <1.0 ppm	Daily					
Chlorine Dioxide <0.8 ppm	Daily					
Total Chlorine <4.0 ppm	Monthly					
Bromate <0.010 ppm	Monthly					
TTHM <0.080 ppm ²	Quarterly or Annual		•	•		
HAA5 <0.060 ppm ²	Quarterly or Annual		•	•	•	

¹ often not regulated/permitted

² based on locational running annual averages of samples collected from last 4 quarters

³ lead and copper sampling can be reduced to annual or to every 3 years if levels are low enough

Safe Drinking Water Act: Disinfectant Chemistries

Supplemental Disinfectant	Typical Effective Control Range (ppm)	Maximum Contaminant Level (ppm)	Regulated Disinfection Byproducts
Chlorine (as Cl2)	0.5 - 3.0	MRDL = 4.0	THMs, HAA5
Chlorine dioxide (as ClO2)	0.1 - 0.7	MRDL = 0.8	Chlorite
Monochloramine (as Cl2)	1.5 - 3.0	MRDL = 4.0	THMs, HAA5
Copper-Silver	Copper = 0.20 – 0.80 Silver = 0.01 – 0.08	Copper, MCL = 1.3* Silver, SMCL = 0.1*	Not applicable, Cu/Ag are not EPA listed disinfectants

*Maximum Contaminant Level Goal (MCLG) - The level of a contaminant in drinking water below which there is no known or expected risk to health. MCLGs allow for a margin of safety and are non-enforceable public health goals.

Permitting Requirements

- Revised Total Coliform Rule
 - Absence of Total Coliform
- Lead & Copper Rule
 - Lead <0.015 ppm in 90% of samples
 - Copper < 1.3 ppm</p>
- Stage 1 and Stage 2 Disinfectants and Disinfection Byproducts Rules
- Other reports may be required
 - Nitrite/Nitrate Testing



Monitoring Requirements

EPA Permitting Requirement	Frequency	Chlorine	Chlorine Dioxide	Monochloramine	Copper-Silver ¹	Ozone
Absence of Total Coliform	Monthly					
Lead <0.015 ppm ³ (in 90% of samples)	Bi-Annual			•		
Copper < 1.3 ppm ³	Bi-Annual					
Chlorite <1.0 ppm	Daily					
Chlorine Dioxide <0.8 ppm	Daily					
Total Chlorine <4.0 ppm	Monthly					
Bromate <0.010 ppm	Monthly					
TTHM <0.080 ppm ²	Quarterly or Annual		•	•		
HAA5 <0.060 ppm ²	Quarterly or Annual		•	•	•	

¹ often not regulated/permitted

² based on locational running annual averages of samples collected from last 4 quarters

³ lead and copper sampling can be reduced to annual or to every 3 years if levels are low enough



Robust Commissioning

- Perform hot water mass balance to confirm hot water chemistry
- Confirm hot water return monochloramine is maintaining residual





Robust Commissioning

- Perform distal testing (far, near & midpoint)
- Confirm hot and cold water chemistry distribution



S	L1000
08/18/2022 1:51 PM	ange
1: Free Chlorine	Cl ₂ 0.00 mg/L
2: Total Chlorine	Cl ₂ 1.16 mg/L
3: Monochloramine	دا₂ 1.09 mg/L
4: Free Ammonia	NH3-N 0.07 mg/L
Details	



Preventative Maintenance

- Critically essential to success of program
- Inspection for signs of fatigue
- Calibrate and adjust/confirm set points
- Test each safety feature to perform as designed
- Who is responsible??

Service/Maintenance Task	Monthly	Quarterly	Annually
Calibrate Cl2 Probe1	Х		
Balance Chemistry (adjustment)	Х		
Prime Pumps / Check for Leaks	Х		
Clean Strainers	Х		
Test Chemical Strength2	Х		
Clean Unit and Tanks	Х		
Distal Sampling and Reporting	Х		
Inspect / Replace Injectors		Х	
Replace High Pressure Tubing		Х	
Rebuild Pumps / Inspect All Equipment			Х
Upgrade Software			
Test & Verify Safety Features Function		Х	

Temperature Control Measures

Is 120°F or 140°F a true control measure?

- Hot water-constant temperature is an important predictor for the presence of L. pneumophila
- Only 3 (0.55%) of 541 samples exceeded the technical measures level when the hot water temperature was consistently above 140°F

